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Abstract – Notice Boards are a common occurrence in variety of institutions which we come across on a daily basis. In the current scenario the notice/advertisement boards are being managed manually. There is a long process involved in order to put up notices on the notice board. This wastes a lot of resources like paper, printer ink, man power and also brings about loss of time. In this paper we have proposed a system which will enable people to wirelessly transmit notices on a notice board using Zigbee. In this paper we have proposed a system by which only authorized people can access the notice board using a graphical user interface. We can also make the system compatible with more than one wireless technology.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless technology has been making tremendous progress over the past few years. The ever increasing use of wireless networks serves as an indicator of the progress in the area of wireless networks. The demand for wireless technology is increasing not only in industrial applications but also for domestic purposes. Some benefits of wireless technology are:

• Completes the access technology portfolio: customers commonly use more than one access technology to serve various parts of their network and during the migration phase of their networks, when upgrading occurs on a scheduled basis. Wireless enables a fully comprehensive access technology portfolio to work with existing dial, cable, and DSL technologies.

• Goes where cable and fiber cannot: the inherent nature of wireless is that it doesn’t require wires or lines to accommodate the data/voice/video pipeline. As such, the system will carry information across geographical areas that are prohibitive in terms of distance, cost, access, or time. It also sidesteps the numerous issues of ILEC colocation.

• Involves reduced time to revenue: companies can generate revenue in less time through the deployment of wireless solutions than with comparable access technologies because a wireless system can be assembled and brought online in as little as two to three hours.

• Provides broadband access extension: wireless commonly both competes with and complements existing broadband access. Wireless technologies play a key role in extending the reach of cable, fiber, and DSL markets, and it does so quickly and reliably. It also commonly provides a competitive alternative to broadband wireline or provides access in geographies that don’t qualify for loop access.

In [1], Jin-Shyan Lee et al. have given a detailed comparative study of different short-range wireless protocols viz. Blue-tooth (over IEEE 802.15.1), UWB (over IEEE 802.15.3), Zig- Bee (over IEEE 802.15.4) and Wi-Fi (over IEEE 802.11a/b/g). Main features and behaviors in terms of various metrics, including capacity, network topology, security, quality of service support, and power consumption are studied for the comparison.

Our proposed model consists of two modules i.e. one or more Transmitter and one Receiver module. The transmitter module consists of interfacing computer via serial interface to the Zigbee module. The receiver module placed at the remote end consists of Zigbee module interfaced with a micro-controller for displaying messages on LCD. Password based Authentication is employed on the Transmitter side in order to provide access control to only authorized users. Primarily 16x2 LCD is been used for displaying messages which we can further extend to larger LCD.[1].
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II. COMPARATIVE STUDY

Table I below summarizes [4] [1] the key differences between the three short-range wireless technologies. As shown below, Wi-Fi provides higher data rates for multimedia access as compared to both ZigBee and Bluetooth which provides lower data transfer rates. ZigBee and Bluetooth are intended for WPAN communication (about 10m), while Wi-Fi is designed for WLAN (about 100m). Although certain ZigBee chipsets can reach a range of up to 100m.

### TABLE I

**COMPARISON OF THE BLUETOOTH, ZIGBEE, AND WI-FI PROTOCOLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Bluetooth</th>
<th>ZigBee</th>
<th>Wi-Fi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application Focus</td>
<td>Cable</td>
<td>Monitoring and Control</td>
<td>Web, Email, Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency band</td>
<td>2.4 GHz</td>
<td>868/915 MHz; 2.4 GHz, 5GHz</td>
<td>2.4 GHz, 5GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max signal rate</td>
<td>1 Mb/s</td>
<td>250 Kb/s; 54 Mb/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal range</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>10 - 100 m; 100 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel bandwidth</td>
<td>1 MHz</td>
<td>0.3/0.6 MHz; 2 MHz</td>
<td>22 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data protection</td>
<td>16-bit CRC</td>
<td>16-bit CRC</td>
<td>32-bit CRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max number of cell nodes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>more than 65000</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II below provides the comparison of the electrical parameters for the different chipsets of BlueCore2 [1] from Cambridge Silicon Radio (CSR), XB24-B [4] from Digi International Inc. and CX53111 [2] from Conexant (previous Intersil Prism), while Fig. 2 indicates power consumption in mW unit for each protocol.

### TABLE II

**CURRENT CONSUMPTION OF CHIPSETS FOR EACH PROTOCOL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Bluetooth</th>
<th>ZigBee</th>
<th>Wi-Fi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chipset</td>
<td>BlueCore2</td>
<td>XB24-B</td>
<td>CX5311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDD (volt)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX (mA)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RX (mA)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal TX power (dBm)</td>
<td>0 to 10</td>
<td>-25 to 0</td>
<td>15 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Life (days)</td>
<td>1 - 7</td>
<td>100 - 1000</td>
<td>0.5 - 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The power consumption of Bluetooth and ZigBee is much less than Wi-Fi. Along with low power consumption and the possibility to increase the range of deployment, the network scalability offered by ZigBee is large as compared to Bluetooth [2]. The comparison of above parameters leads us to select ZigBee as the wireless interface technology for our proposed system.

### III. ZIGBEE PROTOCOL

ZigBee is a specification for a suite of high level communication protocols using small, low-power digital radios based on an IEEE 802 standard for personal area networks. [5] ZigBee devices are often used in mesh network form to transmit data over longer distances, passing data through intermediate devices to reach more distant ones. This allows ZigBee networks to be formed ad-hoc, with no centralized control or high-power transmitter/receiver able to reach all of the devices. Any ZigBee device can be tasked with running the network.

ZigBee is targeted at applications that require a low data rate, long battery life, and secure networking. ZigBee has a defined rate of 250 kbit/s, best suited for periodic or intermittent data or a single signal transmission from a sensor or input device. Applications include wireless light switches, electrical meters with in-home-displays, traffic management systems, and other consumer and industrial equipment that requires short-range wireless transfer of data at relatively low rates. The technology defined by the ZigBee specification is intended to be simpler and less expensive than other WPANs, such as Bluetooth or Wi-Fi.

ZigBee devices are of three types:

- **ZigBee Co-ordinator (ZC):** The most capable device, the Co-ordinator forms the root of the network tree and might bridge to other networks. There is exactly one ZigBee Co-ordinator in each network since it is the device that started the network originally (the ZigBee LightLink specification also allows operation without a ZigBee Co-ordinator, making it more usable for over-the-shelf home products). It stores information about the network, including acting as the Trust Center repository for security keys.

- **ZigBee Router (ZR):** As well as running an application function, a Router can act as an intermediate router, passing on data from other devices.

- **ZigBee End Device (ZED):** Contains just enough functionality to talk to the parent node (either the Co-ordinator or a Router); it cannot relay data from other devices. This relationship allows the node to...
be asleep a significant amount of the time thereby giving long battery life. A ZED requires the least amount of memory, and therefore can be less expensive to manufacture than a ZR or ZC. [6]

Fig. 1. Zigbee devices

IV. SYSTEM DESIGN

The proposed system consists of Transmitter section and Receiver section. The complete schematic is as shown:

A. Transmitter section

Fig. 3. Block diagram of Transmitter

Transmitter Section mainly consists of serial port interfaced to Zigbee Module via MAX232. Module of XBEE Series2 of Digi Inc. [4] has been used. The Xbee radios are programmed using X-CTU software in API mode with the desired baud rate. Screenshots of X-CTU are shown in Fig. 6. A .Net based GUI application is developed on PC which enables the user to display message. The application authenticates user and then allows to display message.

Fig. 4. X-CTU

Fig. 5. Voltage Regulator
A voltage regulator is designed to automatically maintain a constant voltage level. It is used to stabilize the DC voltages used by the processor and other elements. It is used in the Transmitter section to stabilize the voltage at the output of MAX232 before passing it to Zigbee Module.

B. Receiver section

Fig. 6. Receiver section

Zigbee module on the receiver side is interfaced with UART(Universal Asynchronous Receiver/ Transmitter) of Micro-Controller PIC16F877A. Micro-Controller receives the message from Zigbee module on receiver side and displays it on the LCD screen. It also provides Synchronization between Transmitter and Receiver.

V. FUTURE SCOPE

Electronic Notice Board is one of the application where Zigbee can be used effectively. It can also be used in Malls and Highways for Advertisement purpose. A moving display with variable speed can also be used in place of static display.

VI. CONCLUSION

Wireless operations permit services, such as long-range communications, that are impossible or impractical to implement with the use of wires. It provides fast transfer of information and are cheaper to install and maintain. This paper provides an efficient way of displaying messages on Notice Board using Wireless Technology. It also provides user authentication in order to avoid any misuse of proposed system.
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