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Abstract - Question Answering (QA), in information retrieval, is the task of automatically answering a question posed in natural language (NL) using either a pre-structured database or a collection of natural language documents. As with the excessive information growth in the web, retrieving the exact fragment of information even for a simple query, it requires large and expensive resources. Additionally the need to develop exact systems gains more importance due to available structured knowledge-bases and the continuous demand to access information rapidly and efficiently. The domain specific question Answering System gives suitable solution for this. This paper proposes the closed domain QA System for handling the legal documents of IPC sections and Indian Laws to retrieve more precise answers.

I. INTRODUCTION

In early 90s, the first Question Answering task in TREC 8 (Text Retrieval Conference), revealed an increasing need for more sophisticated search engines able to retrieve the specific piece of information that could be considered as the best possible answer for the user question. Such systems must go beyond document selection, by extracting relevant part. They should either provide the answer if the question is factual or yield a summary if the question is theoretic.

Question Answering (QA) is an area of natural language processing research aimed at providing the users with a convenient and natural interface for accessing information. The typology of question related on 13 categories. To each category was associated a search strategy of the answer in the knowledge base. The textual database replaces the knowledge base in the previous works. An information retrieval based system exploiting only statistic knowledge of the corpus leads to the elaboration of a system able to answer less than half of the questions.

The problem intersects two domains: Information Retrieval (IR) and Natural Language Processing (NLP). IR is improved by integrating NLP functionalities at a huge scale, i.e. independently of the domain, and necessarily having a large linguistic coverage. This integration allows the selection of the relevant passages by linguistic features at the syntactic or even semantic level. NL document collections used for QA systems include: a local collection of reference texts, a set of HTML pages and a subset of World Wide Web pages. QA deals with a wide range of question types which includes: fact, list, definition, How, Why, hypothetical, semantically constrained, and cross-lingual questions.

This paper is classified as follows: Section 2 comprises basic elements of QA System; section 3 comprises related work in Question Answering, section 4 fallows proposed approach and section 5 gives the conclusion.

A. Basic Elements Of QA System

Every QA System has the basic elements for implementation as:

1) Question Processing

The input to the Question Processing is question asked by the user. The Question Processing captures the semantic of question that is for what the question is asked by the user. The Question Processing has three tasks as:

a) Determining the question type
b) Determining the answer type
c) Extracting keywords from the question and formulate a query.

a) Question Types

There are five classes of questions according to the answers as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Answer: single datum / list of item</th>
<th>Answer: multi-sentence</th>
<th>Answer: across several text</th>
<th>Answer: an analysis of retrieved information</th>
<th>Answer: result of reasoning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C: who, when, where, how (old, much, large)</td>
<td>C: extract from multiple sentence</td>
<td>C: comparative/contrastive</td>
<td>C: synthesized coherently from various retrieved fragment</td>
<td>C: word or domain knowledge and common sense reasoning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Classes of Questions
b) Types of QA

There are two types of Question Answering systems according to the domain of answers as:

Closed-Domain QA System: Closed-domain question answering deals with the questions under a specific domain, and can be seen as an easier task because NLP systems can exploit domain-specific knowledge frequently formalized in ontologies. It has very high accuracy but requires extensive language processing and is limited to one domain. The example of such a system is medicines or automotive maintenance.

Open-Domain QA System: Open-domain question answering deals with the questions about nearly everything, and can rely on general ontologies only and world knowledge. And these systems usually have much more data available from which to extract the answer. It can potentially answer any question but has very low accuracy as the domain is not specific.

c) Keyword Selection

The keywords are helpful for finding the relevant text in question to give a specific answer. For better matching, these keywords can be expanded with lexical or semantic alternations like, the word "producer" can be taken as "produce", the phrase "has been sold" can be taken as "sell" and the specific category as "dog" can be referred as "animal" for keyword selection. Also, some words based on importance are focused for keywords like non-stopwords in quotations, all complex nominal (plus adjectives), all other nouns, all verbs (not focus on tense), and potential answer type.

2) Document Retrieval

From the keywords that selected, a query is formulated and is given in the Passage retrieval component. In this, all the passages are extracted that contains the selected keywords. The quality of passage depends upon the loops. It follows some simple heuristic algorithms to decide whether the certain keyword is added or dropped for candidate answering text.

For example, if in the first iteration, it uses the initial 6 keywords selection heuristics it follows the algorithm like: if the number of passages is less than a threshold then the query is too strict, therefore drop a keyword otherwise if the number of passages is greater than a threshold then query is too relaxed, and therefore add a keyword.

The ranking of passages is done by constructing the keyword windows in which; it searches how many times certain keywords are found in the passages. The passage scoring is depends upon

- The number of question keywords obtained in the same sequence in the window.
- The number of keywords separating the most distant keywords in the window.
- The number of unmatched keywords.

According to passage score, more relevant passage is selected for an answer. The passage retrieval component deals with document retrieval from the database for extracting the passage that contains the candidate answer text.

3) Answer Extraction

In the answer extraction, the representation of the question and the representation of candidate answer bearing texts are matched against each other to give a specific and correct answer. From this set of such candidate answers are produced and then ranked according to the likelihood of correctness. The answer ranking features are:

- Question term numbers matched in the answer passage.
- Question terms numbers matched in the same phrase or sentence as the candidate answer.
- Number of question terms matched, separated from the candidate.
- Number of terms occurring in the same order in the answer passage as in the question.
- Average distance from the candidate answer to the question term matches.

II. RELATED WORK

The open domain QA System [1] described the use of Wikipedia as a rich knowledge source in a question answering system with multiple answer matching modules based on different types of semi-structured knowledge sources of Wikipedia, including article content, infoboxes, article structure, category structure, and definitions. These semi-structured knowledge sources each have their unique strengths in finding answers for specific question types, like as infoboxes for factoid questions, category structure for list questions, and definitions for descriptive questions.
In this for Question Analysis, questions in natural language form are analyzed using multiple linguistic analysis techniques, including POS tagging, chunking, and named entity tagging[12] and then analyzed result into the form of answer format (AF), answer theme (AT) and question target (QT). The AF has three possible values as factoid, list, and descriptive, an AT is the class of the object or description sought by the question and A QT consists of two parts as object that the question is about and property of interest that a question attempts to get at regarding the object.

For retrieving an answer, it selects the best answer a for given question q that maximizes the multiplication of question analysis score SQ(r,q), document retrieval score SD(d|q) and the answer matching score SA(M)(a|q,r,d) where r, a, d are question analysis result, answer candidate and retrieved document, respectively and scores are normalized between 0 and 1. The answers extracted from multiple modules are merged using an answer merging strategy that reflects the specialized nature of the answer matching modules. The main motivation behind this work was to devise a way to utilize the existing semi-structured, large-size Wikipedia database as a knowledge source for a QA system without building high-cost knowledge base.[1]

For semi-structured knowledge-based QA System [2], a new architecture to develop a factoid question answering system based on the DBPedia ontology and the DBPedia extraction framework. DpBedia is a project that aims at extracting information based on the semi-structured data presented within the Wikipedia articles.

For retrieving an answer, it selects the best answer a for given question q that maximizes the multiplication of question analysis score SQ(r,q), document retrieval score SD(d|q) and the answer matching score SA(M)(a|q,r,d) where r, a, d are question analysis result, answer candidate and retrieved document, respectively and scores are normalized between 0 and 1. The answers extracted from multiple modules are merged using an answer merging strategy that reflects the specialized nature of the answer matching modules. The main motivation behind this work was to devise a way to utilize the existing semi-structured, large-size Wikipedia database as a knowledge source for a QA system without building high-cost knowledge base.[1]
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**Figure 3. Global Question Answering System Architecture** [2]

This paper [2] is divided into 3 parts as Question Classification and Decision Model Generation, Question Processing and Answer identification.

(i) Question Analysis: It performs the scoring and ranking of the answer candidates which performs the scoring and ranking, and selects the winner candidate using matching window sizes. This answer candidate which has the highest score is selected as the winner candidate and this snippet is further proceeded for answer extraction. The document collection is indexed and, which has total keyword match with the question are selected for answer snippet extraction. For this, it checks the count of match of the query with each sentence. The sentences which have a fuzzy match to the query are selected as the answer candidates are represented using a triplet containing the sentence, index and count of the match. The index is used to extract the actual sentence. The index value is assigned at the time of text splitting and count of the match gives the value of match with the question. These answer candidates are passed to the next module selection of answer candidates.

(ii) Text Retrieval and answer snippet extraction: Based on the query words the answer candidates are retrieved from the document collection for answer identification.

The document collection is indexed and, which has total keyword match with the question are selected for answer snippet extraction. For this, it checks the count of match of the query with each sentence. The sentences which have a fuzzy match to the query are selected as the answer candidates are represented using a triplet containing the sentence, index and count of the match. The index is used to extract the actual sentence. The index value is assigned at the time of text splitting and count of the match gives the value of match with the question. These answer candidates are passed to the next module selection of answer candidates.

(iii) Answer identification: It has two sub-modules as,
expected named entity of the question is identified by analyzing the question word and then nearest surrounding words of the question word are analyzed to identify the expected answer entity.

Jibin Fu in the paper [4] proposed a music knowledge question answering system on the ontology knowledge base through which the users can ask a question about music knowledge in natural language, and the system automatically extracts relative knowledge to give answer based on FAQ and ontology knowledge base. It has three processes as:

(i) Question Classification: It uses the ontology and improved Bayesian-based method [15]. First, the \textit{bmqdor hm tdr q pt drshnr qd d sq bdc hmrt oonp ne} ontology knowledge base and then the frequency of \textit{sdk r b kde t rt t nhf nq p a f 1 ndk enj dim nhf} class of question.

(ii) FAQ and Question Analyzer: Frequently asked questions are stored in FAQ module which can quicken \textit{sng qnh dhr f} Sgdr rhl kqis ne t tdrq r pt drshnr ne question in FAQ candidate question set is computed. If \textit{t tdrq r pt drshnr ms l sbg hmgd sgd pt drshnr} transferred to question analyzer module in which, question template method is used to extract semantic representation for a simple question and for complex question and abnormality question; keyword association method is used for probability of semantic representation. For each template, its semantic representation is extracted, once a question can match a question template, the semantic representation of the question can be located.

(iii) Answer Extraction: It follows two strategies: In first, one directly match question with the question in FAQ, for frequently asked question, and in second strategy, for a question not included in FAQ, analyze the question and extracts the answer in support of ontology and logic reasoning. First has higher priority than strategy two.

The relative concepts are extracted from ontology and the relations between concepts are reason and knowledge point is extracted to form answer.

III. PROPOSED APPROACH

Using the literature survey of Question Answering Systems, we can say that the closed domain QA System is more accurate than the open domain QA System. If we see scenario of queries related to legal documents of IPC sections and different Indian laws, there is no such QA system, which ensures the correct answers. The user generally asks the query in the form of question and extra text in unstructured or structured queries in efficiently.

The QA system for closed domain of legal documents of IPC sections and Indian Laws using machine learning approach and information retrieval is proposed to give the accurate and suitably more correct answers enq1 rdq r structured or unstructured queries in efficiently.

IV. CONCLUSION

Question Answering requires more complex NLP techniques compared to other forms of Information Retrieval. QA Systems can be developed for resources like web, semi-structured and structured knowledge-base. The Closed Domain QA Systems give more accuracy in finding answers but restricted to single domain only.
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